IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11<sup>TH</sup>
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION CASE NO. 07-43672 CA 09

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERMAN MORTGAGE et al.,

Defendants.

## BARRY A. IMBER AND IMBER & COMPANY'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL

Non-parties, Barry A. Imber and Imber & Company (hereinafter collectively "Imber"), hereby move for a stay of proceedings pursuant to Rule 9.310 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. In support of the motion, Imber states:

- 1. On September 29, 2008, this Court ordered Imber to produce protected work papers over Imber's objections. Then on October 20, 2008 this Court denied Imber's Motion for Reconsideration and/or Clarification regarding the production of its work papers and the Court's September 29<sup>th</sup> Order.
- 2. Imber has filed a Petition for Writ of *Certiorari* with the District Court of Appeal for the Third District.
- 3. Rule 9.310(a) authorizes this court to stay a non-final order pending appeal. See Platt v. Russek, 921 So. 2d 5 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004).
- 4. A stay is necessary in this case to preserve the status quo during the pendency of the appeal. As was further outlined in Imber's Motion for Reconsideration and/or Clarification, if Imber

KAPLAN ZEENA LLP 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3050, Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: (305) 530-0800 Fax: (305) 530-0801

Case No. 07-43672 CA 09

were to produce the requested documents, it would cause irreparable damage to Imber.

5. The requested discovery is "cat out of the bag" type of discovery, the production of

which will cause irreparable injury. See Allstate Ins. Co. v. Langston, 655 So.2d 91 (Fla.1995) (discovery of

protected material could result in letting the "cat out of the bag," and injury could result if such

information was disclosed); Allstate Ins. Co. v. Boecher, 733 So.2d 993, 999 (Fla.1999); Martin-Johnson, Inc.

v. Savage, 509 So.2d 1097 (Fla.1987).

6. Without a stay pending appellate review, Imber will be forced to spend potentially

unnecessary time, resources and money in responding to the Receiver's discovery while the appellate

court considers Imber's appeal and, in fact, the production of the documents would moot the appeal,

causing Imber irreparable harm..

7. Under the circumstances of this case, Imber's rights could not be adequately protected

without the entry of a stay of proceedings during the pendency of the appeal.

WHEREFORE, Imber, by undersigned counsel, requests that this Honorable Court enter an

order staying the proceedings in this matter during the pendency of the appeal.

Respectfully Submitted,

KAPLAN ZEENA LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

2 South Biscayne Boulevard

One Biscayne Tower

Suite 3050

Miami, FL 33131

Tel.: 305-530-0800

Fax.: 305-530-0801

Bv.

JAMES M. KAPLAN

Florida Bar No./921040

NANCI S. LANDY

Florida Bar No. 817971

KRISTEN A. ROSENTHAL

Florida Bar No. 316880

Kaplan Zeena llp

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3050, Miami, Florida 33131

Tel: (305) 530-0800 Fax: (305) 530-0801

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

WE HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via U.S. Mail to: Michael A. Hanzman, Esq., Hanzman Gilbert, LLP, 2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 700, Coral Gables, Florida 33134; James D. Gassenheimer, Esq., Berger Singerman, 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 1000, Miami, Florida 33131; Michael I. Goldberg, Esq., Akerman Senterfitt, 350 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1600, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301-4217; Dean C. Colson, Esq., Colson Hicks Eidson, 255 Aragon Avenue, 2nd Floor, Coral Gables, Florida, 33134, this day of October, 2008.

KRISTEN A ROSENTHAL